

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/445,439 02/23/00 SABEL В 10644-0001-2 **EXAMINER** HM22/0829 HARTLEY .. M OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY FOURTH FLOOR ARLINGTON VA 22202 1619 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/29/01

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/445,439	SABEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	-
	Michael G. Hartley	1619	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 41-87.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other:	7	Michael G. Hartley Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1619	5

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

-hased and Bauki-hased compounds v

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment inserts Genapol-based and Bauki-based compounds which raise the issue of new matter since the scope of "based" may include more than a defined series. Also, this recitation would require a new consideration for indefiniteness, as it is unclear how "based" modifies these terms. Also, this may require a new search as the term "based" may expand over a defined series of compounds..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 102-while polysorbate 85 is not polyoxylated sorbitan monolaurate, this compound is encompassed by "alkoxylated esters" as claimed, as is other compounds disclosed by Hyon. 103-the prior art does teach stabilizers as instantly claimed or obvious variations thereof, for example, Hyon teaches calcium stearate, as opposed to sodium stearate as claimed, while the proir art teaches that various salts of such stabilizers may be employed, as well as, various stabilizers as claimed, e.g., pluronics, as taught by Dyatlov, polysorbates as taught by Canal and the same stabilizers as claimed as taught by Kreuter. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ these stabilizers in the surfactant free microparticles disclosed by Hyon, Datlov and/or Canal.